



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	SB0213	<b>Title:</b>	Require FWP plan to manage wildlife to prevent disease transmission
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	Bales, Keith	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts           | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2012 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2013 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### Description of fiscal impact:

This bill will increase the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks' expenditures by approximately \$300,000 per year to conduct efforts to prevent transmission of disease from game animals.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions:

#### **Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks (FWP)**

1. This bill requires that FWP manage game animal populations in a manner that prevents the transmission of disease from game animals to domestic livestock or humans.
2. The FWP would implement this legislation by considering disease when developing wildlife management plans and objectives and taking reasonable and practical steps, given available resources and other program demands, to reduce the risk of transmission of disease from game animals to domestic livestock and humans.
3. FWP would increase efforts to reduce the risk of transmission of disease from game animals to domestic livestock through a program of objective based surveillance which includes sample collection and testing, expanded area of sample collection, and potential strategic hazing of game animals to minimize

commingling with livestock during high risk times. Operations costs are estimated at \$300,000 per year to conduct these activities.

	<b><u>FY 2010 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2011 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2012 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2013 Difference</u></b>
<b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b>				
<b><u>Expenditures:</u></b>				
Operating Expenses	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
<b><u>Revenues:</u></b>				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>				
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$300,000)	(\$300,000)	(\$300,000)	(\$300,000)

---

*Sponsor's Initials*


---

*Date*


---

*Budget Director's Initials*


---

*Date*